

FY 2022

IN-503 - Indianapolis CoC

Continuum of Care

1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project

Documents include the following:

- **Renewal PSH Project Scored**
- **New PSC Project Scored**

Renewal Project Scored

Evaluation Criteria		Calculation	Points Distribution	Weight/Total Points Available	One Project - Detailed Scoring	One Project - Points Received
1. Permanently Housed					ECD - Englewood S+C - PSH - CoC	ECD - Englewood S+C - PSH - CoC
1A. PSH	Households Exiting to Permanent Housing or Remaining in Permanent Housing		95-100% = 20 points	20	10 households exited to permanent housing or remained in permanent housing / 10 households active at any point in program in permanent housing = 100%	20
	Total number of households that are active at any point in the program in permanent housing		90-94.9% = 17 points			
1B. RRH			85-89.9% = 13 points			
			80-84.9% = 9 points			
			75-79.9% = 6 points			
	Number of Households Exiting to Permanent Housing		<74.9% = 0 points			
	Total number of households that exited the project					
2. Non-Employment Income						
2A. PSH	Total Number of adults that have stayed 1+ year OR that have exited the project AND have maintained and increased non-employment income		55-100% = 10 points	10	8 adults stayed 1+ year OR have exited project AND have maintained and increased non-employment income / 12 adults that have stayed 1+ year OR have exited the project = 67%	10
			45-54.9% = 8 points			
	35-44.9% = 6 points					
	25-34.9% = 4 points					
2B. RRH	Total number of Adults that have stayed 1+ year OR that have exited the project		15-24.9% = 2 points			
			≤14.9% = 0 points			
			40-100% = 10 points			
			30-39.9% = 8 points			
			20-29.9% = 6 points			
			10-19.9% = 4 points			
			≤9.9% = 0 points			
3. Employment Income						
3A. PSH	Number of adults (18-61) that have stayed 1+ year OR that have exited the project AND that maintained or increased employment income		15-100% = 10 points	10	2 adults (18-61) have stayed 1+ year OR have exited the project AND maintained or increased employment income / 9 adults (18-61) have stayed 1+ year OR have exited - adults 62+ stayed 1+ year OR exited = 22%	10
			10-14.99% = 8 points			
	5-9.99% = 6 points					
	≤4.9% = 5 points					
3B. RRH	Total number of adults (18-61) (that have stayed 1+ year OR have exited) – Number of adults 62+ (that have stayed 1+ year OR have exited)		60-100% = 10 points			
			45-59.9% = 8 points			
			30-44.9% = 6 points			
			15-29.9% = 4 points			
			≤14.9% = 0 points			

4.* Mainstream (Non-Cash) Benefits					
4A. PSH	Number of households that have stayed 1+ year OR exited the project AND have maintained and increased benefits	80% - 100% = 10 points 60% - 79.9% = 8 points 40% - 59.9% = 6 points	10	11 households have stayed 1+ year OR exited project AND have maintained and increased benefits / 11 households have stayed 1+ year OR exited the project = 100%	10
4B. RRH	Total households that have stayed 1+year OR exited the project	20% - 39.9% = 4 points 1 - 19.9% = 2 points 0% - 0.99% = 0 points			
5. Draw Down Rate (Annual Project Term):					
5A. PSH	Percentage of total awarded amount drawn on the 2020 contract that expired in 2021. This excludes the City of Indianapolis portion of admin.	97-100% = 9 points 94-96.9% = 7 points	9	\$96,494 drawn / \$98,472 total award = 97.99%	9
5B. RRH		90-93.9% = 5 points 87-89.9% = 3 points 85- 86.9% = 2 points <85% = 0 points			
6. Quarterly Draw Down (Project Term)					
6A. PSH	Projects are deducted one point for every quarter that a claim is not submitted. For completed contract year that started in 2020 and ended in 2021. If purchase order from the city is delayed, projects will not be penalized for not drawing.	Draw down reported each quarter Draws in Every Quarter= 4 points Missed One Quarter Of Draws= 3 points Missed Two Quarters of Draws = 2 points Missed Three Quarters of Draws = 1 point Had No Draws= 0 points	4	At least one draw in every quarter. Exception was that contract from City to Englewood was delayed. This delay was not enelized.	4
6B. RRH					

7. Severity of Barriers						
7A. PSH	Households actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, formerly incarcerated, or self-declared mental health condition exiting to Permanent Housing or Remaining in Permanent Housing	90-100% = 4 points	4	10 households actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, formerly incarcerated, or self-declared mental health condition exited to permanent housing or remained in permanent housing / 11 households actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, or formerly incarcerated that were active at any point in the program in permanent housing = 91%	4	
	Total number of households actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, or formerly incarcerated that are active at any point in the program in permanent housing	80 - 89.9% = 3 points				
		70-79.9% = 2 points 60-69.9% = 1 points <59.9% = 0 points				
7B. RRH	Number of Households that are actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, formerly incarcerated, self-declared mental health condition. Exiting to Permanent Housing					
	Total number of households that are actively fleeing DV, substance abuse condition, formerly incarcerated, self-declared mental health condition that exited the project					
8. Returns to Homelessness						
8A. PSH	Number of individuals returning to homeless system with 12 months Number of individuals that exited project to Permanent Housing	0-19.9% = 8 points	8	0 individuals returning to homeless system within 12 months / 0 individuals that exited project to permanent housing = 0	8	
		20-39.9% = 6 points				
8B. RRH		40-59.9% = 4 points 60-79.9% = 2 points > 80% = 0 points				
9. HMIS Data Quality						
9a. Data Quality	Number of UDE, Project Stay, and Program Specific (Missing, Client Did Not Know, Client Refused) Total number of UDE in project	Data Quality: 0 to 6 points Universal Data Elements: PSH and RRH: Between 99% and 100% = 2 points Universal Project Stay Elements: PSH and RRH: Between 99% and 100% = 2 points Program Specific Data Elements PSH and RRH: Between 99% and 100% = 2 points	15	100 % universal data elements entered = 2 points 100% universal project stay elements entered = 2 points 100% program specific data elements entered = 2 points	11	
9b. Entry Timing	Total Days Total number of clients	Entry Timing: 0 to 3 points < 4 days = 3 points 4 – 8 Days = 2 points 8 – 14 Days = 1 point ≥ 14 days = 0 points				Enrollments were added in 4 days = 2 points
9c. Exit Timing	Total Days Total number of clients	Exit Timing: 0 to 3 points (same as Entry Timing)				exits were added in 0 days = 3 points
9d. Services Entry	Services is a threshold question. If a project has entered 1+ services during the review period, the project will receive full points	Services Entry: Yes (3 points) No (0 points)				No services were entered = 0 points

10. Equity Factors					
10. PSH and RRH	Subrecipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, ETC) in managerial and leadership positions.	Yes = 1 point No = 0 Points	3	Organization answered "Yes" to this question.	3
	Subrecipients board of directors includes representation from one or more persons with lived experience.	Yes = 1 point No = 0 Points		Organization answered "Yes" to this question.	
	Subrecipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience (survey, town hall, etc)	Yes = 1 point No = 0 Points		Organization answered "Yes" to this question.	
11. Length of Time for Housing					
11A. PSH	Total Number of Days from Referral to Housing (all head of household)	0 to 30 days = 5 points 31 to 45 days = 4 points 46 to 60 days = 3 points 60 to 80 days = 2 points ≥81 days = 0 points	5	No new clients housed within period	5
11B. RRH	Total Number of Clients Housed *if no referrals in 2021, then max	0 to 45 days = 5 points 46 to 60 days = 4 points 61 to 80 days = 3 points 81 to 95 days = 2 points ≥96 days = 0 points			
13. Cost Effectiveness of Permanent Housing					
13A. PSH	<u>Total Grant Award-Administrative Budget Client who Remain or Exit to Permanent Housing</u>	Project is within 20% of \$7859.26 (current CoC PSH project average).	1	20% of \$7,859.26 is between \$6,287.41 and \$9,431.11 Total Claimed on 2020 Contract: 94,358.00 Total Number of Houeolds in Program (Including Households Exited): 11 94,358/11 = \$8,578	1
13B. RRH	<u>Total Grant Award-Administrative Budget Client who Remain or Exit to Permanent Housing</u>	Project is within 25% of \$7,607.84 (current CoC RRH project average).			
14. Health Insurance					
14A. PSH	Total number of individuals enrolled in any health insurance program	80% = 1 point	1	17 individuals enrolled in any health insurance program / 20 clients enrolled in the program = 85%	1
14B. RRH	Total number of clients enrolled in the program.	<80% = 0 points			
Total Possible Score:			100	Englewood Score:	96

Horizon House

Scoring Breakdown (100 points total):

	Points Available	Points Awarded	Comments
I. Organizational Experience and Capacity – Section 3 (21 points)			
1. Experience utilizing federal funds and performing activities in the proposal. (10 points)	10	9.5	
2. Leveraging federal funds. (4 points)	4	4	
3. Organizational management structure. (3 points)	3	3	
4. Any returned funds to HUD or City grants. (2 points)	2	2	
5. Plan to ensure full spending of grants. (2 points)	2	2	
	21	20.5	
II. Project Description – Section 4 (27 points)			
1. Description of the scope of project. (15 points)	15	14	
2. Meeting a Strategy of the Indianapolis CoC (2 points)	2	2	
3. Rapid implementation of the project. (2 points)	2	2	
4. Housing First design and experience. (4 points)	4	4	
5. Client feedback/involvement. (2 points)	2	2	
6. Continuous Quality Improvement (2 points)	2	2	
	27	26	
III. Supportive Service Design – Section 5 (31points)			
1. Describe experience delivering supportive services and housing to people experiencing homelessness. (10 points)	10	10	
2. How will participants be assisted both to increase their employment and/or income. (3 points)	3	2.5	
3. Describe how the project will coordinate with other mainstream services. (5 points)	5	4	
3. Provide transportation, assist with mainstream benefits, access to SSI/SSDI assistance and SOAR training. (8 points)	8	6	
4. Case management and service staffing model (5 points)	5	5	
	31	27.5	
IV. CoC Questions – Section 6 (10 points)			
1. HMIS data quality (4 points)	4	4	
2. Racial Equity (2 points)	2	2	
3. LGBTQ+ (2 points)	2	1.5	
4. Domestic Violence (2 points)	2	2	
	10	9.5	
v. Budgets – Section 7 (11 points)			
1. Rental Assistance (1 point)	1	1	
2. Supportive Services (4 points)	4	4	
3. HMIS (1 point)	1	1	
4. Match Information (1 point)	1	1	
5. Project budget (4 points)	4	4	
	11	11	
TOTAL	100	94.5	